Achieving equitable implementation of lung cancer screening in a safety net health system in Texas

Michael Pignone MD MPH Dell Medical School University of Texas Austin, TX



Cancer Prevention & Research Institute of Texas American Cancer Society®

Funding: Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (#190063)

Lung cancer epidemiology

- Lung cancer: leading cause of cancer death US and global
- US: 238,340 new cases of lung cancer and about 127,070 deaths from lung cancer are expected in 2023
- 80% + smoking-related
- Most lung cancer diagnosed at advanced stages
- Race-ethnic disparities in lung cancer mortality

Disparities in Lung Cancer Mortality by Race/Ethnicity

MALE LUNG AND BRONCHUS CANCER: AGE-ADJUSTED DEATH RATE PER 100,000 MEN BY RACE/ETHNICITY





U.S. Mortality, Age-Adjusted Rate per 100,000

Lung cancer screening- overview

- LDCT screening decreases lung cancer mortality in high-risk patients
- USPSTF "B" recommendation
 - 2021 recommendation expanded eligibility:
 - Ages 50-80, current or former smoker (quit within 15 years)
 - > 20 pack-years
- Limited uptake to date (< 20%)

• Can screening be implemented equitably?

Barriers to implementation in FQHCs

- Inadequate smoking data to assess eligibility
- Many competing clinical demands
- Transportation barriers
- Cost (for uninsured patient screening and for follow-up care)
- Barriers higher for some populations

Purpose

 To implement lung cancer screening in high-risk patients served by a safety net health system

• To ensure that implementation is equitable: no important demographic differences in uptake

Setting

- Large FQHC system in Central Texas
- 22 sites
- 7,000+ current / former smokers in target age range
 Estimate 2500 eligible
- No lung screening before program
- Limited smoking cessation services
- EHR transition and COVID

Implementation strategy

- Create registry of high-risk current and former smokers
 - Improve smoking assessment to better assess risk
- Offer intensive, telephone-based intensive smoking cessation to smokers
- Implement shared decision making about CT screening for high-risk patients
 - Telephonic delivery to overcome barriers
 - Social work-trained navigators / decision coaches (English and Spanish)
 - Validated brief decision aid
- Ensure interested patients receive screening and appropriate follow-up
 - No-cost screening
 - Navigation
 - Virtual, multi-disciplinary case conference



Participant demographics

| Var | level | N (%) | Var | level | |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------|
| N | | 392 | Ν | | 392 |
| Age; mean (sd) | | 61.1 (6.55) | Insurance (%) | Medicare | 55 (14.0) |
| Gender (%) | Female | 155 (39.5) | | Medicaid | 55 (14.0) |
| | Male | 237 (60.5) | | County | 186 (47.4) |
| Race/Eth. (%) | Hispanic/Latino | 135 (34.4) | | Sliding Scale | 35 (8.9) |
| | Black, Non-hisp. | 77 (19.6) | | Commercial | 40 (10.2) |
| | Other/Multi, Non-hisp. | 13 (3.3) | | Grants | 1(0.3) |
| | White Non-hisp | 140 (35 7) | | Unknown | 17 (4.3) |
| | Unknown /Rofusod | 27 (6 0) | | Other | 3 (0.8) |
| | UTKIOWIJ Ketuseu | 27 (0.9) | Smk. status (%) | Current Smoker | 299 (76.7)* |
| Pref. Language (%) | English | 306 (79.9) | | Former Smoker | 91 (23.3) |
| | Spanish | 72 (18.8) | * N/As removed for a | clarity | |
| | Other | 5 (1.3) | | | |

Few demographic differences in LDCT completion

| Var | No LDCT | Completed LDCT | Total | | Var | No LDCT | Completed LDCT | Total |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------|--|-----------------|-----------|----------------|-------|
| N | 69 | 323 (0.82) | 392 | | N | 69 | 323 (0.82) | 392 |
| Age Group (%) | | | | | Pref. Lang. (%) | | | |
| Age <60 | 30 (0.19) | 129 (0.81) | 159 | | English | 63 (0.21) | 243 (0.79) | 306 |
| Age >=60 | 39 (0.17) | 194 (0.83) | 233 | | Spanish | 6 (0.08) | 66 (0.92) | 72 |
| Gender (%) | | | | | Other | 0 (0) | 5 (1) | 5 |
| Female | 28 (0.18) | 127 (0.82) | 155 | | Insurance (%) | | | |
| Male | 41 (0.17) | 196 (0.83) | 237 | | Medicare | 8 (0.15) | 47 (0.85) | 55 |
| Race/Eth. (%) | | | | | Medicaid | 13 (0.24) | 42 (0.76) | 55 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 21 (0.16) | 114 (0.84) | 135 | | County | 32 (0.17) | 154 (0.83) | 186 |
| Black, Non-hisp. | 9 (0.12) | 68 (0.88) | 77 | | Sliding Scale | 6 (0.17) | 29 (0.83) | 35 |
| Other/Multi, | 4 (0.31) | 9 (0.69) | 13 | | Commercial | 6 (0.15) | 34 (0.85) | 40 |
| White Non-hisp | 28 (0.2) | 112 (0.8) | 140 | | Other | 4 (0.19) | 17 (0.81) | 21 |
| | 20 (0.2) | | 140 | | Smk. status (%) | | | |
| Unknown/Refused | 7 (0.26) | 20 (0.74) | 27 | | Current Smoker | 60 (0.2) | 239 (0.8) | 299 |
| * N/As removed for clarity | | | | | | | | |

Former Smoker

9 (0.1)

82 (0.9)

91

5.12

Odds Ratios for LDCT Completion

| | OR | 2.50% | 97.50% | | OR | 2.50% | 97.50% |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-------|----------|---------------|--------------|-----------|--------|
| Age Group | Ref: Age <60 | | | Language | Ref: English | | |
| Age >=60 | 1.16 | 0.68 | 1.95 | Spanish | 2.85 | 1.27 | 7.63 |
| Gender | Ref: Male | | | Insurance | Ref: Con | nmercial | |
| Female | 0.95 | 0.56 | 1.62 | Medicare | 1.04 | 0.32 | 3.26 |
| Race/Eth. | Ref: White, Non-hisp. | | Medicaid | 0.57 | 0.18 | 1.61 | |
| Hispanic/Latino | 1.36 | 0.73 | 2.55 | County | 0.85 | 0.3 | 2.07 |
| Black, Non-hisp. | 1.89 | 0.87 | 4.46 | Sliding Scale | 0.85 | 0.24 | 3 |
| Other/Multi, Non-hisp. | 0.56 | 0.17 | 2.2 | Other | 0.75 | 0.19 | 3.26 |
| Unknown/Refused | 0.71 | 0.28 | 1.97 | Smk. status | Ref: Curre | nt smoker | |

Former Smoker

2.29

1.14

Median time from SDM to completion = 26.5 days

Screening results (LungRADS)

| LDCT Scan Results | N = 392 |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| LungRADS 1 (negative/benign) | 190 (48.4%) |
| LungRADS 2 (benign) | 97 (24.7%) |
| LungRADS 3 (probably benign) | 27 (6.9%) |
| LungRADS 4 (very suspicious) | 9* (2.3%) |
| Not available | 69 (17.6%) |

* Two cancer diagnoses (0.5%)

Conclusions

- Successful implementation of lung cancer screening in a diverse population of high-risk patients in safety net system
- Few demographic differences in screening completion
- % Abnormal findings c/w prior studies

Implications and next steps

- Current model effective and equitable
- Many eligible patients have not been engaged as yet
- Opportunities to undertake more active outreach and increase referrals
- Expanding program to second system and larger geography

Thanks! Questions?



Michael Pignone, MD, MPH pignone@austin.utexas.edu

Authors:

Michael Pignone, MD, MPH Nicole Kluz, MPH Amaris Martinez, BA LaTasha Vanin, LCSW Yvonne Queralt, MD Koonj Shah, MD Trisha Parekh, DO, MSPH Patrick Chang, MPH

Extra slides

Limited implementation to date in US

- National survey data (BRFSS- self report) 2018
- 26,910 age-eligible respondents
 - 9.9% eligible based on smoking history
- 19.2% of eligible reported CT screening within 12 months
- Significant state-level variation
 - Texas 28.2% highest
- More likely to report screening:
 - Non-high school graduates
 - Retired / Disabled
 - Annual Income > \$30K
 - Has personal physician

Median days from SDM to LDCT= 26.5 days

| Var | Median time-to-LDCT |
|------------------------|---------------------|
| Age group | |
| Age <60 | 26 days |
| Age >=60 | 27 days |
| Gender | |
| Female | 28 days |
| Male | 25 days |
| Race/Ethnicity | |
| Hispanic/Latino | 27 days |
| Black, Non-hisp. | 36 days |
| Other/Multi, Non-hisp. | 24 days |
| White, Non-hisp. | 22 days |
| Unknown/Refused | 24 days |

* N/As removed for clarity

| Var | Median time-to-LDCT |
|----------------|---------------------|
| Pref. Language | |
| English | 24 days |
| Spanish | 29 days |
| Other | 35 days |
| Insurance | |
| Medicare | 30 days |
| Medicaid | 39 days |
| MAP | 25 days |
| Sliding Scale | 24 days |
| Commercial | 21 days |
| Other | 23 days |
| Smoking status | |
| Current Smoker | 28 days |
| Former Smoker | 23 days |

Follow-up of abnormal screening

| Initial Scan | Recommendation/Follow-up | Outcome |
|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| 4a | 3 month follow-up scan | Resolved |
| 4x | 3 month CT – nodules resolved | Resolved |
| 4a | Bx – T1bN0M0 cancer; curative surgery Undergoing surveillance | Successful cancer treatment |
| 4b | Bx – T1bN1M0; second primary cancer; immunotherapy | In cancer treatment |
| 4b | 3 month CT showed improvement; 6 month CT – nodules resolved | Resolved |
| 4b | 3 month scan – nodules resolved | Resolved (deceased) |
| 4a | 3 month PET or CT (????) | Resolved |
| 4b | Bx – no malignancy; return to annual surveillance | Resolved |

Should I start having yearly screening for lung cancer?

You are eligible for lung cancer screening if you: are ages 55-77, are a current smoker or quit within the past 15 years, and have smoked at least a pack per day for 30 years.

How do we screen for lung cancer?

We screen for lung cancer using a CT scan. If you decide to be screened for lung cancer, you will need to have a CT scan once a year.

| | SCREENED | NOT SCREENED |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| | Out of 1000 People | Out of 1000 people |
| Lung cancers diagnosed | 42 | 39 |
| | Lung cancers diagnosed | Lung cancers diagnosed |
| Benefits (over 7 years) | | |
| Risk of dying from lung cancer | 18 | 21 |
| | Lung cancer deaths | Lung cancer deaths |
| Lung cancer deaths prevented | 3 | 0 |
| | Lung cancer deaths prevented | Lung cancer deaths prevented |
| Harms (over 7 years) | | |
| Need for more scans | 206 | 0 |
| | People will need more CT scans | People will need more CT scans |
| Biopsies | 14 | 0 |
| | Biopsies in people who do NOT have lung cancer | Biopsies in people who do NOT have lung cancer |
| Serious complications from biopsies | 0-1 | 0 |
| | Serious complications from biopsies in people who do NOT have lung cancer | Serious complications from biopsies in people who do NOT have lung cancer |
| Over-detection and | 3 | 0 |
| over-treatment of harmless "cancers" | Over-detected and treated cancers | Over-detected and treated cancers |
| Radiation exposure | 0-1 | 0 |
| | Radiation-related cancer | Radiation-related cancer |
| | (10-20 years later) | (10-20 years later) |

L_____

Should I start having yearly screening for lung cancer?

OTHER THINGS YOU SHOULD THINK ABOUT: • Anxiety and distress: Screening can cause anxiety and distress from worrying about lung cancer, especially when the CT scan finds nodules. • Costs: The CT screening test is covered by your insurance or our program. Our team will work with you if you require additional tests.

| Your Decision There is a lot to consider before you decide whether you want to be screened f what's most important to you about the benefits and harms. Are you leaning t annual lung screening? | | | | be screened for lung you leaning toward c | cancer. Think abou or against starting | t | |
|---|----------------|--|---------|--|---|--|--|
| Starting annual lung screening | Leaning Toward | | Neutral | | Leaning Against | NOT starting annual lung screening | |